Prof. Dr. Gunther Friedl								
	Cost Accounting (WI001130) (I Erfasste Fragebögen	MiM) (SoSe 22) 1 = 13						
	Survey Results	S						
Legend								
	Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev.	Mean Median 0% 50% 0% 25%		n=No. of responses				
Question text	Left pole	2 3 4 5 Histogram	e	av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention				
1. General Information								
1.1. On which campus are you pr	imarily studying?							
	funich (including Garching, Freising and Weihenstephan)		100%	n=13				
	Heilbronn		0%					
	Straubing		0%					
1.2. Which degree program are y	ou in?							
	Bachelor Management & Technology		7.7%	n=13				
	Master Management & Technology		0%					
	Master in Management		69.2%					
	Master Consumer Science		0%					
	Exchange student other		0% 23.1%					
1.3. How often (in %) have you a	ttended this lecture?							
	0 %		0%	n=13				
	20 %		0%					
	40 %		7.7%					
	60 %		15.4%					
	80 %		7.7%					
	100 %		69.2%					
1.4. How much time, on average,	, did you spend on preparing for the lecture a	and reviewing your notes per wee						
	0 hours		7.7%	n=13				
	1 hour		15.4%					
	2 hours		15.4%					
	3 hours		30.8%					
	4 hours		7.7%					
	5 hours 6 hours		7.7% 0%					
	> 6 hours		15.4%					

2. Concept, Structure and Communication of Content				
2.1. The goal of the lecture is clear	strongly agree	61,5% 30,8% 0% 0% 7,7% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,6 md=1 dev.=1,1
2.2. The lecture is well-structured	strongly agree	69,2%       15,4%       7,7%       7,7%       0%         1       2       3       4       5	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,5 md=1 dev.=1
2.3. The subject matter of individual sessions was logically sequenced	strongly agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,5 md=1 dev.=0,9
2.4. The lecture content was communicated clearly and understandably	strongly agree	69,2%       15,4%       7,7%       7,7%       0%         1       2       3       4       5	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,5 md=1 dev.=1
2.5. The content of the lecture overlaps with that of other course	es/ modules			
	no		92.3%	n=13
	yes 🤇	)	7.7%	
3. Ethics, Sustainability and Responsibility				
3.1. Did the module point out how the content is related to ethic	s, sustainability a	and responsibility?		
	no		30.8%	n=13
	yes		69.2%	
3.2. Did the lecture make me think in a socially and ecologically	responsible way	(?		n=13
	no		46.2%	11-13
	yes		53.8%	
4. Quality of Supervision - The Lecturer				
		53,8% 15,4% 7,7% 7,7% 15,4%		
4.1 highlights connections to other subjects	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13 av.=2,2 md=1 dev.=1,6
4.2 can spark my interest in the topic and motivated me to engage with its content	strongly agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=2 md=2 dev.=1,3
4.3 used a variety of instructional methods to reach the course objectives (e.g. group discussions, student presentations, etc.)	strongly agree	46,2% 15,4% 15,4% 7,7% 15,4%	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=2,3 md=2 dev.=1,5
4.4 was readily available for questions/ guidance	strongly agree	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,8 md=1 dev.=1,3
4.5 showed interest in students' success	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,8 md=1 dev.=1,2

4.6 was well prepared	strongly agree	84,6% 7,7%	0%	7,7%	0%	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0,9
4.7 was responsive to student comments and questions	strongly agree			15,4%	0%	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,7 md=1 dev.=1,1
4.8 provided clear and understandable explanations of solutions	strongly agree	61,5% 23,1%	7,7%	7,7%	0%	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,6 md=1 dev.=1
5. Media and Lecture Materials							
5.1. In which format is this course delivered?							
	On-site					23.1%	n=13
	Videos	)				46.2%	
Live-	stream/ Webinar					0%	
	Hybrid					30.8%	
5.2. Which platform?							
Live-stream	m/ Webinar					0%	n=10
Lectu	rio pre-recording					0%	
	Others					00%	
5.3. The lecture materials are timely available	strongly agree		0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
5.4. Outlines of the lecture, presentations, overheads, etc. used in the course were understandable	strongly agree	69,2% 30,8% 1 2	0%	0% 4	 0% 5	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0,5
5.5. The lecture used the newest technical tools and methods	strongly agree	69,2% 15,4% 1 2	7,7%	7,7%	0%	strongly disagree	n=13 av.=1,5 md=1 dev.=1
5.6. The course used innovative teaching elements (e.g. online-v	/oting, online-qu	uiz, flipped clas	sroom	)			
	no			,		23.1%	n=13
	yes				)	76.9%	
6. Scope and Difficulty Level							
6.1. Grading criteria (multiple choice, written and/or oral exam, paper, presentation, homework etc.) are fair and transparent.	strongly agree		33,3% 	<u>0%</u> 4	0%	strongly disagree	n=12 av.=1,8 md=1,5 dev.=0,9
6.2. The scope of the lecture considering the number of ECTS credits is	too large	23,1% 30,8%	46,2%	0%	0%	too small	n=13 av.=2,2 md=2 dev.=0,8

		Piùi. Di. Gui	ther Friedl, Cost Accounting	(1001130) (1011
6.3. The difficulty level of the lecture is	too high	15,4% 46,2% 38,5% 0% 15,4% 46,2% 16,2% 0% 15,4% 46,2% 16,2% 0% 15,4% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2\% 16,2	5 0% too low	n=13 av.=2,2 md=2 dev.=0,7
7. Tutorial - if there was no tutorial, please skip this sec	tion			
7.1. How often (in %) have you attended the tutorial?				
	0%		8.3%	n=12
	20%		0%	
	40%		0%	
	60%		16.7%	
	80%		25%	
	100%		50%	
7.2. How helpful was the tutorial with respect to comprehending the content of the lecture?	very helpful	58,3%     8,3%     25%     0%       1     2     3     4	5 8,3% not helpful	n=12 av.=1,9 md=1 dev.=1,3
8. Overall				
8.1. I have benefited from the lecture (I have obtained knowledge and I know how to use the newly acquired knowledge in practice)	strongly agree	53,8% 30,8% 0% 7,79 1 2 3 4	5 strongly disa	gree n=13 av.=1,8 md=1 dev.=1,3
8.2. The lecture highlights topics at the interface between management and technology	strongly agree	46,2% 23,1% 15,4% 0%	5 strongly disa	gree n=13 av.=2,2 md=2 dev.=1,5
8.3. Overall grade for Prof. Dr. Gunther Friedl	1 (excellent)	69,2% 15,4% 7,7% 7,7% 1 2 3 4	% 0% 5 5 5 (poor)	n=13 av.=1,5 md=1 dev.=1
3.4. Overall grade for the lecture	1 (excellent)		5 (poor)	n=13 av.=1,8 md=1 dev.=1,2

# Profile

#### Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) TUM School of Management Prof. Dr. Gunther Friedl Cost Accounting (WI001130) (MiM) (CA\_SS22)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

### 2. Concept, Structure and Communication of Content

2.1. The goal of the lecture is clear	strongly agree			 strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=1,1	
2.2. The lecture is well-structured	strongly agree	-		 strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0	
2.3. The subject matter of individual sessions was logically sequenced	strongly agree	-		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,9	
2.4. The lecture content was communicated clearly and understandably	strongly agree	_		 strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0	

# 4. Quality of Supervision - The Lecturer ...

4.1 highlights connections to other subjects	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=2,2	md=1,0	dev.=1,6
4.2 can spark my interest in the topic and motivated me to engage with its content	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=1,3
4.3 used a variety of instructional methods to reach the course objectives (e.g. group discussions, student presentations, etc.)	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=2,3	md=2,0	dev.=1,5
4.4 was readily available for questions/ guidance	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,3
4.5 showed interest in students' success	strongly agree	<mark>,</mark> ↓	strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
4.6 was well prepared	strongly agree	┥	strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,9
4.7 was responsive to student comments and questions	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
4.8 provided clear and understandable explanations of solutions	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=1,0

### 5. Media and Lecture Materials

5.3. The lecture materials are timely available	strongly agree	\		 strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
5.4. Outlines of the lecture, presentations, overheads, etc. used in the course were understandable	strongly agree	$\frac{1}{1}$		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
5.5. The lecture used the newest technical tools and methods	strongly agree			 strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0

# 6. Scope and Difficulty Level

6.1. Grading criteria (multiple choice, written and/or oral exam, paper, presentation, homework etc.) are fair and transparent.	strongly agree	•		strongly disagree	n=12	av.=1,8	md=1,5	dev.=0,9
6.2. The scope of the lecture considering the number of ECTS credits is	too large			too small	n=13	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
6.3. The difficulty level of the lecture is	too high			too low	n=13	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=0,7

av.=1,9

md=1,0 dev.=1,3

# 7. Tutorial - if there was no tutorial, please skip this section 7.2. How helpful was the tutorial with respect to comprehending the content of the lecture? very helpful

8. Overall

8.1. I have benefited from the lecture (I have obtained knowledge and I know how to use the newly acquired knowledge in practice)	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,3
8.2. The lecture highlights topics at the interface between management and technology	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=13	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=1,5
8.3. Overall grade for Prof. Dr. Gunther Friedl	1 (excellent)		5 (poor)	n=13	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
8.4. Overall grade for the lecture	1 (excellent)	<u> </u>	5 (poor)	n=13	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,2