0%


M.Sc. Yanis Gamarra




Controlling - Übung (WI001083) (WS 22/23) Erfasste Fragebögen = 10


Survey Results Legend Relative Frequencies of answers Std. Dev. Mean Median 0% n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention 50% 0% Question text Left pole Right pole Scale Histogram 1. General Information 1.1. On which campus are you primarily studying? n=10 Munich (including Garching, Freising and Weihenstephan) 100% Heilbronn 0% Straubing 0% 1.2. Which degree program are you in? n=10 50% Bachelor Management & Technology 20% Master Management & Technology Master in Management 0% Master Consumer Science 0% 0% Exchange student other 1.3. How often (in %) have you attended this exercise/ tutorial? n=10 0% 0 % 20 % 10% 20% 60 % 0% 80 % 30% 40% 1.4. How much time, on average, did you spend on preparing for the exercise/ tutorial and reviewing your notes per week? n=10 0 hours 20% 1 hour 40% 2 hours 10% 30% 3 hours 0% 4 hours 5 hours 0% 6 hours 0%

> 6 hours






6.3. The difficulty level of the exercise/ tutorial is



n=10 av.=2,8 md=3 dev.=0,4





7.4. Overall grade for the exercise/ tutorial

Profile

Subunit:

TUM School of Management

Name of the instructor:

M.Sc. Yanis Gamarra

Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Controlling - Übung (WI001083) (C-?_WS22/23)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


2. Concept, Structure and Communication of Content

2.1. The goal of the exercise/ tutorial is clear

2.2. The exercise/ tutorial is well-structured

2.3. The subject matter of individual sessions was logically sequenced

2.4. The exercise/ tutorial content was communicated clearly and understandably



n=10 md=1,0 dev.=0,3

n=10 av.=1.2 md=1.0 dev.=0.4 av.=1,2

n=10

n=10

n=10

n=10

disagree

n=10 av.=1.3 md=1.0 dev = 0.5

md=1,0

md=1.5

md=1.0

dev.=0,4

dev.=0.5

4. Quality of Supervision - The Lecturer ...

4.1. ... highlights connections to other subjects

4.2. ... can spark my interest in the topic and motivated me to engage with its content

. used a variety of instructional methods to reach the course objectives (e.g. group discussions, student presentations, etc.)


4.4. ... was readily available for questions/ guidance

4.5. ... showed interest in students' success

4.6. ... was well prepared

4.7. ... was responsive to student comments and questions

4.8. ... provided clear and understandable explanations of solutions



n=10 av = 1.5md=1.0dev = 0.7n=10 av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0.3 n=10 md=1.0 dev.=0.3 n=10 md=1,0 dev.=0,3 av.=1,1

av.=1.5

n=10 md=1.0 dev.=0.3 av.=1.1

md=1.0

dev.=0.0


av.=1.0

5. Media and Exercise/ Tutorial Materials

5.3. The exercise/ tutorial materials are timely

5.4. Outlines of the exercise/ tutorial, presentations, overheads, etc. used in the course were understandable

5.5. The exercise/ tutorial used the newest technical tools and methods



n=10 av.=1,0 md=1,0 dev.=0,0 n=10 md=1,0 dev.=0,3 n=9 md=1,0 dev.=0,7


av.=1,4

6. Scope and Difficulty Level

6.1. Grading criteria (multiple choice, written and/ or oral exam, paper, presentation, homework etc.) are fair and transparent.

6.2. The scope of the exercise/ tutorial considering the number of ECTS credits is


6.3. The difficulty level of the exercise/ tutorial is



ı	n=10	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
ı	n=10	av.=2,6	md=3,0	dev.=1,0
ı	n=10	av.=2,8	md=3,0	dev.=0,4

7. Overall

- 7.1. I have benefited from the exercise/ tutorial (I have obtained knowledge and I know how to use the newly acquired knowledge in practice)
- 7.2. The exercise/ tutorial highlights topics at the interface between management and technology
- 7.3. Overall grade for M.Sc. Yanis Gamarra
- 7.4. Overall grade for the exercise/ tutorial



dev.=0,5	md=1,5	av.=1,5	n=10
dev.=0,9	md=2,0	av.=1,8	n=10
dev.=0,0	md=1,0	av.=1,0	n=10
dev.=0.3	md=1.0	av.=1.1	n=10