

2. Concept, Structure and Communication of Content				
2.1. The goal of the seminar is clear	strongly agree	100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
2.2. The seminar is well-structured	strongly agree	66,7% 16,7% 0% 16,7% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,7 md=1 dev.=1,2
2.3. The subject matter of individual sessions was logically sequenced	strongly agree	66,7% 16,7% 16,7% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,5 md=1 dev.=0,8
2.4. The seminar content was communicated clearly and understandably	strongly agree	66,7% 16,7% 0% 16,7% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,7 md=1 dev.=1,2
2.5. The content of the seminar overlaps with that of other cour	ses/ modules			
	no		75%	n=4
	yes 🤇		25%	
3. Ethics, Sustainability and Responsibility				
3.1. Did the module point out how the content is related to ethic	_	and responsibility?	400/	n=5
	no yes		40% 60%	
		·		
3.2. Did the seminar make me think in a socially and ecological	lly responsible wa	ay?		
	no		0%	n=6
	yes		〕100%	
4. Quality of Supervision - The Lecturer				
		66,7% 33,3% 0% 0% 0%		
4.1 highlights connections to other subjects	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0,5
4.2 can spark my interest in the topic and motivated me to engage with its content	strongly agree	83,3% 16,7% 0% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4
4.3 used a variety of instructional methods to reach the course objectives (e.g. group discussions, student presentations, etc.)	strongly agree	83,3% 16,7% 0% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4
4.4 was readily available for questions / guidance	strongly agree	100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
4.5 showed interest in students' success	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
		1 2 3 4 5		

4.6 was well prepared	strongly agree	83,3% 16,7% 09 1 2 3		0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4
4.7 was responsive to student comments and questions	strongly agree			0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
4.8 provided clear and understandable explanations of solutions	strongly agree	83,3% 16,7% 09 1 2 3		0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4
5. Media and Seminar Materials						
5.1. In which format is this seminar delivered?						
	On-site				100%	n=6
	Videos				0%	
	Live-stream/ Webinar				0%	
	Hybrid				0%	
5.3. The seminar materials are timely available	strongly agree	66,7% 0% 16,7 1 2 3	4	0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,8 md=1 dev.=1,3
5.4. Outlines of the seminar, presentations, overheads, etc. used in the course were understandable	strongly agree			0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4
5.5. The seminar used the newest technical tools and methods	strongly agree			0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0,5
5.6. The course used innovative teaching elements (e.g. on	line-voting, online-qu	iz, flipped classro	om)			
	no] 100%	n=5
	yes				0%	
6. Scope and Difficulty Level						
6.1. Grading criteria (multiple choice, written and/or oral exam, paper, presentation, homework etc.) are fair and transparent.	strongly agree	50% 25% 25 1 2 3		0%	strongly disagree	n=4 av.=1,8 md=1,5 dev.=1
6.2. The scope of the seminar considering the number of ECTS credits is	too large			0%	too small	n=5 av.=2,6 md=3 dev.=0,9
6.3. The difficulty level of the seminar is	too high			0%	too low	n=5 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0
7. Overall						

7.1. I have benefited from the seminar (I have obtained knowledge and I know how to use the newly acquired knowledge in practice)	strongly agree	83,3% 16,7%	0%	0%	0%	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4
7.2. The seminar highlights topics at the interface between management and technology	strongly agree	66,7% 33,3%	0%	0%	 0% 5	strongly disagree	n=6 av.=1,3 md=1 dev.=0,5
7.3. Overall grade for M.Sc. Maximilian Blaschke	1 (excellent)	100% 0%	0%	0%	0%	5 (poor)	n=6 av.=1 md=1 dev.=0
7.4. Overall grade for the seminar	1 (excellent)	83,3% 16,7%	 0% 3	 0% 4	0%	5 (poor)	n=6 av.=1,2 md=1 dev.=0,4

Profile

Subunit:

Name of the instructor: Name of the course: (Name of the survey) TUM School of Management M.Sc. Maximilian Blaschke Advanced Seminar Finance & Accounting (WI001285): Entrepreneurial Modelling

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Concept, Structure and Communication of Content

2.1. The goal of the seminar is clear	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
2.2. The seminar is well-structured	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
2.3. The subject matter of individual sessions was logically sequenced	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
2.4. The seminar content was communicated clearly and understandably	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,2

4. Quality of Supervision - The Lecturer ...

4.1 highlights connections to other subjects	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
4.2 can spark my interest in the topic and motivated me to engage with its content	strongly agree	/		strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
4.3 used a variety of instructional methods to reach the course objectives (e.g. group discussions, student presentations, etc.)	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
4.4 was readily available for questions / guidance	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
4.5 showed interest in students' success	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
4.6 was well prepared	strongly agree	 		strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
4.7 was responsive to student comments and questions	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
4.8 provided clear and understandable explanations of solutions	strongly agree			strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4

5. Media and Seminar Materials

5.3. The seminar materials are timely available	strongly agree		strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,3
5.4. Outlines of the seminar, presentations, overheads, etc. used in the course were understandable	strongly agree	$\left \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right $	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
5.5. The seminar used the newest technical tools and methods	strongly agree		_ strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
6. Scope and Difficulty Level							

6.1. Grading criteria (multiple choice, written and/or oral exam, paper, presentation, homework etc.) are fair and transparent.	strongly agree	•		strongly disagree	n=4	av.=1,8	md=1,5	dev.=1,0
6.2. The scope of the seminar considering the number of ECTS credits is	too large			too small	n=5	av.=2,6	md=3,0	dev.=0,9
6.3. The difficulty level of the seminar is	too high			too low	n=5	av.=3,0	md=3,0	dev.=0,0

7. Overall

7.1. I have benefited from the seminar (I have obtained knowledge and I know how to use the newly acquired knowledge in practice)	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
7.2. The seminar highlights topics at the interface between management and technology	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=6	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
7.3. Overall grade for M.Sc. Maximilian Blaschke	1 (excellent)	5 (poor)	n=6	av.=1,0	md=1,0	dev.=0,0
7.4. Overall grade for the seminar	1 (excellent)	5 (poor)	n=6	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4